

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/565,570		MORRIS, ROBERT W.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	GREG A. BORSETTI		2626		

All Participants:

(1) GREG A. BORSETTI

(2) Mandy Jubang

Date of Interview: 12 November 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103a

Claims discussed:

1, 17-18

Prior art documents discussed:

Wolf, Clements

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Greg A. Borsetti/
Examiner, Art Unit 2626

Status of Application: After RCE

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 4pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner suggested amendments to the independent claims to overcome the rejections. Although no specific language was discussed, Examiner noted that the first and second portions are of the same set of words but have different subword representations. This amendment was formally accepted by Applicant on November 15, 2010 at 3:30pm and is entered as an Examiner Amendment.